I. Context

<u>1.</u> Provide a brief overview of the characteristics of your Chinook Salmon Recovery area.

Please refer to the checklists and other content developed for the 2012 Salmon Recovery Council conference:

http://www.mypugetsound.net/index.php?option=com_docman&task=cat_view&gid =584&Itemid=238

2. Describe the process for developing your 3YWP narrative and project/activity list. Who are the stakeholders involved and what are their roles? Are harvest and hatchery managers involved in your planning group or have they had an opportunity to comment or consult on your 3YWP?

Habitat components of the 3YWP project spreadsheet are reviewed and updated through the lead entity process and committees. For Mid Hood Canal (MHC) this year, HCCC staff facilitated two, broader lead entity meetings on the topic as well as a focused workshop at Lilliwaup on this topic. The narrative is typically written by HCCC staff to reflect these updates and then provided to lead entity committees for their information.

Hatchery and harvest managers are marginally involved, but not a major focus of the 3YWP. For the last two years we have updated the hatchery and harvest components of the 3YWP to reflect the planned actions necessary for this MHC Chinook population. As it is a complex topic, additional discussion and vetting with the major stakeholders will be necessary as we move forward with adaptive management planning.

II. Background/Planning/Logic of the Recovery Chapter

1. What are the recovery goals for your watershed for Chinook salmon? Include information on both population goals (VSP parameters) and habitat goals.

Population goals are found on page 17 of the MHC Chinook Salmon Recovery Plan, as expressed in abundance and productivity. Diversity and spatial recovery goals have not been developed. Habitat goals are expressed in terms of project lists (Table 5.1), and the improvement on watershed performance modeled by EDT (Table 5.2).

2. What is the current strategy to accomplish the recovery goals and what assumption(s) is this strategy based on?

The current strategy is to implement voluntary habitat recovery projects with funds provided by grants; implement habitat protections as outlined programmatically in the summer chum salmon recovery plan; implement harvest protections as outlined in the Puget Sound Chinook harvest management program; and to discuss status and next steps for hatchery supplementation and/or reintroduction programs during the upcoming adaptive management planning process. 3. What new knowledge or information has changed your strategy, assumptions or hypotheses since your recovery chapter was written?

None, which may be a problem. One important point is that the Skokomish Chinook Recovery Plan has recently been drafted and that has significant bearing on how to update the MHC Chinook plan.

4. How is the sequencing and timing of actions or projects done in such a way as to implement the strategy as effectively as possible?

Habitat restoration and protection is on-going which will provide opportunity for any adjustments that may be needed in hatchery and harvest management as we move forward with adaptive management.

III. Plan and Gaps

1. What are the obstacles or barriers for implementing monitoring and adaptive management? Where could you use support for development of your M&AM plans?

Desire to update the MHC Chinook Salmon Recovery Plan exists, but it is unclear how much capacity will exist in 2013-2015 for planning level efforts given funding changes. The core work group has been meeting and has a work plan that begins with Skokomish and plans to move on to MHC this summer.

2. Considering all actions affecting salmon recovery in the watershed, is the Chinook salmon resource likely to be closer to, or further from, the recovery goals ten years from now as it is today?

Habitat goals will have progressed if funding is stable and sufficient; however it is not knowable how the Chinook salmon resource will fare given their existing status and questions regarding stock appropriateness.

Three-Ye	ar Watersl	hed Impler	nentation Priorities for Hoo	od Canal Co	ordinating Co	uncil																
	D D1							Domain									1					
Prioritization	to be determine	ed by Lead Enti	ty Committees, regional participants, an	ing purposes only id governments				2	Domain 2 represents 1	natal freshwater and	sub-estuarine habitats	for 3 re-introduced	extinct summer chum su	bpopulations and a	Ill significant nearshor	e habitats in the HC	CC LE area.	ICCC LE area.				-
Annual costs	represent mone	y obtained and	or spent during calendar year					3	Domain 3 represents 1	natal freshwater and	sub-estuarine habitats	for all remaining ex	tinct summer chum subp	opulations in the I	ICCC LE area.							
Projects repr	sent all 4 priori	ty Domains to a	allow more comprehensive tracking of s	almon recovery w	while supporting comr	nunity values.		4	Domain 4 represents a	all other habitats incl	uding nearshore areas	not labeled as signi	ficant.									
Domain Priority	Bio Rank / EDT	Primary Limiting	Action name	Likely sponsor	Total cost	Unfunded Portion	Existing Funding	Source of other funds	Scope	Cost	Scope	Cost	Scope	.5 Cost	Restor-ation Type	Location w/in watershed	Performance	Brief Description	Action #	HWS link	HWS link Cont.	3 YWP Project Name
CAPITAL F Habitat Cap	ROJECTS	Factors																				
Mid-Hood (Canal (Dosewa	illips, Duckabı	ush, Hamma Hamma)																			
1	1 of 17	1,3	USFS/Upper Dosewallips wood- riparian restoration	WFC, USFS, Tribes	\$979,699	\$610,000	\$369,699	PSP, USFS, SRFB, PSC	finish permitting and construct 3 ELJs in FS Boundary (phase 1)	\$369,699	monitoring	\$10,000	Construct ELJS in phase 2	\$600,000	I,F,R	Mainstem	4 miles	Place log jams and increase wood loading by helicopter and/or conventional means in strategic locations, including 6 mile bridge, FS boundary, above Camp Acacia, Case Creek, and road washout	33,34,36, 37,38,40	04-01-000	04-01-001 04-01-003	, USFS/Upper Dosewallips wood-riparian restoration
1	4,6,9.5 of 17	1,3,5	Powerlines, Lazy C, Southshore riparian-floodplain protection Lower Dosewallips	Jefferson Land Trust, State Parks, Jefferson County, HCCC, TNC	\$2,141,225	\$1,400,000	\$741,225	Jefferson County, SRFB, PSAR, WWRP, Parks	Community Outreach, Planning and Transactions	\$741,225	Community Outreach, Planning and Transactions	\$1,200,000	Community Outreach, Planning and Transactions	\$200,000	AP, R	Mainstem	400 acres	Protect high quality habitats and purchase impaired habitats for future restoration; includes planning effort	20,25,32	04-02-001, 04-02-002, 04 02-003, 04-02-004		Powerlines, Lazy C,Dosewallips Floodplain Acquisition II
1	6 of 17	1,3	Powerlines Lower Dosewallips wood- riparian restoration	WFC, USFS, Tribes, County	\$152,000	\$151,000	\$1,000	PSP, USFWS	Riparian Planting and exotic control	\$1,000	Riparian Planting and exotic control	\$1,000	ELJ design begins?; Riparian Planting and exotic control	\$150,000	I,F,R	Mainstem	0.5 miles	Improve instream wood loading rates and riparian conditions in the Powerlines Reach	21,23,24	<u>04-01-004</u>		Lower Dosewallips Powerline Reach LWD Design & Implementation
1	7.5,9.5 of 17	1,2,3,5,7	Lower Dosewallips floodplain/estuary restoration and Dosewallips Estuary Phase 4	WFC, Tribes, State Parks	\$630,000	\$30,000	\$600,000	PSP, State Parks, BIA, SRFB, ESRP	RB armor and fill removal below 101; 2000ft	\$600,000			Outyear planning for Brinnon levee and improve Sylopash Slough	\$30,000	I,E,F,R	Estuary, Mainstem	2000ft	Improve riparian conditions, tidal inundation, and floodplain connection; feasibility study included	3,5,6,7,9,11,16	<u>04-03-004,04-03-007</u>	<u>04-03-007</u>	Dosewallips Floodplain and Estuary Restoration 2011 Phase 4
1	10 of 17	3,4,5	USFS road decommission	USFS, Tribes, HCSEG	\$226,500	\$226,500	\$0	USFS, federal			Design, Permitting	\$40,000	Construction	\$186,500	U	Headwater	6.5 miles	Decommission high priority roads for aquatic risk or	27,28,41	04-06		USFS road decommission Dosewallips
	12 617	1007	Walker Cr. Barge Removal &	HOSEG L MG	6221.000	6221.000	¢0.	SRFB, PSAR,	Landowner	610.000	Design &	£211.000			10.5	E. W.		Remove barge from mouth of Walker Creek	12	04.02.000		Walker Cr. Barge Removal & Estuary
1	13 of 17	1,2,3,7	Estuary Restoration, Shoreline Acquisitions Middle Duckabush wood-riparian	WFC, USFS and	\$221,000	\$221,000	\$0	ILF?	Outreach, Acquisition	\$10,000	Construct	\$211,000	monitoring	?	AR,E	Estuary West	l acre	InDosewallips estuary and restore tidal inundation and distributary Place log jams and increase wood loading by helicopter	13	04-03-006		Restoration, Shoreline Acquisitions Duckabush ELJ Design, Mid Duckabush ELJ
1	1.5 of 7	1,3	restoration phase 1	Tribes	\$575,000	\$575,000	\$0	PSP, USFS	Community		Final Design	\$75,000	Community	\$500,000	I,F,R	Mainstem	0.5 miles	and conventional means in strategic locations on Forest Service	12,13	05-01-000	05-01-001	Construction Mid Hood Canal Dosewallips and Duckabush
1	2,5.5 of 7	1,2,3,5	Lower and Middle Duckabush riparian-floodplain protection	and Jefferson Land Trust	\$844,964	\$500,000	\$344,964	Jefferson County, SRFB	Outreach, Planning and Transactions	\$344,964	Outreach, Planning and Transactions	\$250,000	Outreach, Planning and Transactions	\$250,000	L	Mainstem	200 acres potential	Protect high quality habitats and purchase impaired habitats for future restoration; includes planning effort	11,14	04-02-006	<u>000,05-02-</u> 001 001	Acquisition 2007,Mid Hood Canal Dosewallips and Duckabush Acquisition 2009, Dosewallips and Duckabush Acquisitions 2012
1	2 of 7	1,3	Lower Duckabush riparian- floodplain restoration Phase 1	WFC, Jeff County, JLT	\$825,000	\$605,430	\$219,570	PSP, RCO, SRFB			Finish designs and \$ Strategy	\$75,000	Funding, permit and Construction	\$750,000	I,E,F,R	Mainstem	0.5 miles	Improve instream wood loading rates and riparian conditions in the Lower Duckabush after protection efforts have advanced	11	<u>05-01-000</u>		Lower Duckabush riparian-floodplain restoration Phase 1
1	3 of 7	3,4,5	USFS road decommission	USFS, Tribes,	\$370,500	\$370,500	\$0	USFS, federal			Design, Permitting	\$40,000	construction	\$330,500	U	Headwater	8.7 miles	Decommission high priority roads for aquatic risk or	9,10	<u>05-06-001</u>	05-06-000	USFS road decommission Duckabush
1	4.5 of 7	1,2,3,7	SR101 Causeway Replacement Duckabush	Army Corps, WDFW, USFWS, TNC	\$320,000	\$300,000	\$20,000	TNC, LNFF, ESRP, FHA, WSDOT, SRFB	Feasiblity and Design; community outreach	\$20,000	More Design	\$100,000	Final Design	\$200,000	Е	Estuary	?	Continue PSNERP feasibility studies to address benefits for retrofit, alternatives, and costs along the Duckabush causeway	2,3,5,6,7	<u>PA 01-01-002</u>	05-03-002	Duckabush SR101 Causeway Replacement and Estuary Restoration
1	7 of 7	1,2,3,7	Pierce Creek culvert at Shorewood RD	Jefferson County and Jefferson Land Trust	\$225,000	\$225,000	\$0	PSP, ESRP, SRFB	Feasiblity and Design; community outreach		final design and permitting	\$25,000	construction	\$200,000	E,P	Estuary	2 culverts; 1000ft	Improve tidal inundation and fish passage under Shorewood Road, improve upstream habitat and reduce flooding	8	05-04-000		Pierce Creek culvert at Shorewood RD
1		2,5	Duckabush Fire Station Fill Removal	HCSEG, Jeff Co	\$175,000	\$175,000	\$0	SRFB, PSAR	consider new approach to fire station		purchase, design, permit	75000	construction and planting	\$100,000	E,R	Estuary	2 acres	Remove landfill and replant streamside and upper estuary once property has been acquired		<u>05-03-001</u>		Duckabush Fire Station Pierce slough Fill Removal
1	4.5 of 6.5	1,3,4,5	Upper Hama Hama riparian restoration	USFS	\$70,000	\$70,000	\$0	USFS, federal aprop., other	design, planting, exotic and upland	\$35,000	planting, exotic and upland control	\$35,000			R	Mainstem		Improve riparian conditions in non-anadromous reaches to address identified sediment and temperature inputs	12,13,14	<u>08-05-000</u>	Мар	Upper Hama Hama riparian restoration
1	6.5 of 6.5	3,4,5	USFS road decommission Hamma Hamma	USFS, Tribes, HCSEG	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$0	USFS, federal	control		Design, Permitting	\$100,000	Permitting and Construction	\$500,000	U	Headwater	27.1 miles	Decommission high priority roads for aquatic risk or	7,8	08-06		USFS road decommission Hamma Hamma
1	Not modeled	4.5	USFS Road Drainage and	USFS	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$0	USFS, federal	Permitting,	\$100,000	Permitting,	\$100,000	Permitting,	\$100,000	U	Headwater	?	Stabilize high priority roads for aquatic risk; ongoing		08-06		USFS Road Drainage and Stabilization
		-	Stabilization					approp.	Construction	\$2,221,888	Construction	\$2,337,000	Construction	\$4,097,000				USFS maintenance across mid-nC rivers and beyond				
Skokomish-	Lilliwaup																					
1		2	Skokomish Estuary Restoration Phase 3- Skokomish Flats	MCD, Skokomish Tribe	\$3,100,000	\$1,500,000	\$1,600,000	ESRP, PSP, SRFB, NOAA	permitting and construction	\$1,600,000	construction and adaptive management	\$1,450,000	monitoring and adaptive management	\$50,000	E	Estuary	200+ acres, ~40 culverts	Lower berm in Phase 1 down further in limited area, remove bridge landing, topography modification, restore hydrology across Skok Flats RD and on TP access RD; 2014 to include barrier island access breaches etc		<u>10-03-002</u>		Skokomish Estuary Restoration Phase 3- Skokomish Flats
1		2,7	Skokomish Estuary Restoration Phase 4- Eastshore 6 acre fill removal	MCD, Skokomish Tribe	\$450,000	\$450,000	\$0	ESRP, PSP, SRFB, NOAA			property transactions	\$200,000	design, permitting, construction	\$250,000	E, L	Estuary	6 acres	Remove fill and old access road in the eastern cell of the lower Skokomish Estuary		<u>10-03-003</u>		Skokomish Estuary Restoration Phase 4- Eastshore 6 acre fill removal
1		1,2,3,4,5	Lower Skobob Creek Complexity	MCD, Skokomish Tribe	\$145,000	\$145,000	\$0	BIA, PSP			design, funding strategy	\$25,000	design, permitting, construction	\$120,000	E,I,W	Estuary	4000 feet	Place woody debris by helicopter to improve rearing habitat in tidal creek system		<u>10-01-014</u>		Lower Skobob Creek Complexity
1		1,3,4,5	Car Body Armor Removal	MCD, Skokomish Tribe	\$193,710	\$0	\$193,710	SRFB, PSP	design, permitting	\$50,000	construction and planting	\$143,710			I, F	Mainstem	1000 feet	Remove rock and/or car body riprap		<u>10-01-019</u>	Мар	Car Body Armor Removal
1		1,3,4,5,7	armor removal and off-channel reconnection projects TBD																			armor removal and off-channel reconnection projects TBD
1		1,3,4,5	Riparian plantings and noxious weed control	MCD, MNWCB, multiple	\$550,000	\$400,000	\$150,000	NRCS, USDA, SRFB, PSP	scoping, planting, inventory and control	\$150,000	scoping, planting, inventory and control	\$200,000	scoping, planting, inventory and control	\$200,000	R, W	Mainstem and Tributaries	4 miles	MCD and Mason County Noxious Weed Board to conduct outreach to private and public landowners to control knotweed and plant both agricultural openings and existing, alder-dominated riparian areas		<u>10-05</u>	<u>18-02</u>	Riparian plantings and noxious weed control
1		1,3,4,5	North and South Fork Confluence Floodplain Restoration	MCD, Skokomish Tribe, multiple	\$2,650,000	\$2,650,000	\$0	SRFB, PSP, Corps, Skokomish	landowner outreach and transactions	\$550,000	design	\$200,000	construction	\$1,900,000	I,F	Mainstem	150 acres	This project will restore over 150 acres of mainstem floodplain between the historic and present confluences of the North and South Fork Skokomish by removing at least 3500ft of river dike, placing ~50 engineered log jams, and replanting		<u>10-01-015</u>	<u>10-01-020</u>	North and South Fork Confluence Floodplain Restoration
1		1,3,4,5	Vance Creek LWD and Armor Removal	MCD, Skokomish Tribe	\$320,218	\$320,218	\$0		funding		design and construct	\$70,218	design and construct	\$250,000	I, F	Mainstem	2000ft	Implement designs developed with Bureau of Reclamation		<u>10-01-018</u>		Vance Creek LWD and Armor Removal
1		1,3,4,5	Farm Plans, and BMPs	MCD, multiple	\$300,000	\$200,000	\$100,000	NRCS, MCD, Landowner	landowner outreach, fencing, farm plans,	\$100,000	landowner outreach, fencing, farm plans,	\$100,000	landowner outreach, fencing, farm plans,	\$100,000	R, W	Mainstem and Tributaries	2 miles	Work with Mason Conservation District and private landowners to improve stewardship through public incentive programs such as Farm Plans Cost Share, Environment Quality Improvement Program, Wildlife Habitat Improvement Program, and BMP construction		Not in HWS		Farm Plans, and BMPs
1		1,3,4,5	ELJs in North Fork	Skokomish Tribe, Fish Committee	\$1,300,000	\$1,200,000	\$100,000	TP, Skokomish	Reach assessment and planning	\$100,000	Design and permitting	\$200,000	construction	\$1,000,000	I,F	Tributary	multiple miles	License agreement requires Fish and Habitat Committee develop Restoration plan after a couple of years of assessment		<u>10-01-021</u>		ELJs in North Fork
4		1,3,5,6,7	Frigid Creek Culvert Replacement	GD, USFS, MCD	\$227,236	\$227,236	\$0	GD, Joint Venture			design	\$27,236	construction	\$200,000	Р	Tributary	remove 2 barriers	2 fish passage projects at upper extent of Frigid Creek for steelhead (?), coho (?) and cutthroat		<u>10-04-001</u>		Frigid Creek Culvert Replacement

									201	2013 2014		201	15								
Domain Priority	Bio Rank / EDT	Primary Limiting Factors	Action name	Likely sponsor	Total cost	Unfunded Portion	Existing Fundin	g Source of other funds	Scope	Cost	Scope	Cost	Scope	Cost	Restor-ation Type	Location w/in watershed	Performance	Brief Description	Action #	HWS link	HWS link Cont. 3 YWP Project Name
1		1,3,4,5	Holman Flats Floodplain Restoration Phase 2	Skokomish Tribe and USFS	\$932,252	\$932,252	\$0	SRFB,PSP, USFWS,NFWF, USFS, TP	funding strategy		design and planning	\$120,000	construction	\$812,252	I,F	Tributary	1 mile	Phase 2 in Tacoma Power section of Holman Flats; construct engineered log jams		<u>10-01-007</u>	Holman Flats Floodplain Restoration Phase 2
1		1,3,4,5	Upper South Fork and Tributary Floodplain-Channel-Riparian Restoration Assessment and Design	Skokomish Tribe and USFS	\$300,000	\$300,000	\$0	SRFB, PSP, stewardship receipts			LWD assessment and conceptual	\$150,000	Design and permitting	\$150,000	I,F	Tributary	10 miles	Recovery plan diagnoses the upper South Fork watershed as producing sediments and channel instability beyond reference levels from both faulty roads/logging as well as instream channel incision/terrace erosion. This assessment would determine the extent of degradation and lay out a road map for restoration for coming years.		Not in HWS yet	Upper South Fork and Tributary Floodplain- Channel-Riparian Restoration Assessment and Design
1		4,5,6,7	USFS Road Decommission - North Fork 14km	USFS and SWAT	\$330,000	\$330,000	\$0	federal approp., SRFB, PSP, EPA, USFS			design, permitting	\$30,000	construction	\$300,000	U	Headwaters	8.7 miles	Decommission high priority roads for aquatic risk		<u>10-06-004</u>	USFS Road Decommission - North Fork 14km
1		4,5,6,7	USFS Road Decommission - South Fork 18.4 miles	USFS and SWAT	\$2,455,000	\$2,000,000	\$455,000	federal approp., SRFB, PSP, EPA,	construction, design, permitting	\$1,455,000	construction	\$1,000,000			U	Headwaters	18.4 miles	Decommission last remaining priority roads on the Forest in this basin		<u>10-06-003</u>	USFS Road Decommission - South Fork 18.4 miles
1		4,5,6,7	USFS Road Decommission - Vance Creek 6km	USFS and SWAT	\$230,000	\$230,000	\$0	federal approp., SRFB, PSP, EPA, USES			design, permitting	\$30,000	construction	\$200,000	U	Headwaters	3.7 miles	Decommission high priority roads for aquatic risk		<u>10-06-011</u>	USFS Road Decommission - Vance Creek 6km
1		1,5,6	Silviculture Treatments for increased	USFS, SWAT	?	?	?	timber sale	implementation for						U	Headwaters	800 acres	Increase hydrologic maturity within Skokomish basin		Not in HWS	Silviculture Treatments for increased
1		4,5,6,7	Road Drainage and Stabilization - South Fork	USFS and SWAT	?	?	?	federal approp., SRFB, PSP, EPA,	construction		construction		construction		U	Headwaters	149 miles	Stabilize roads to reduce aquatic risk		<u>10-06-002</u>	Road Drainage and Stabilization - South Fork
1		4,5,6,7	Road Maintenance	USFS and SWAT	?	?	?	federal approp., SRFB, PSP, EPA, USES	maintenance		maintenance		maintenance		U	Headwaters	?	Maintain roads to reduce aquatic risk through annual maintenance program		Not in HWS	Road Maintenance
1		1,2,3,7	Lilliwaup Instream Restoration	LLTK	1,140,000	1,000,000	\$140,000	SRFB, in-kind	final design	\$140,000	construction and planting	\$1,000,000	monitoring		I,E,R,F	Mainstem	0.5miles	Work with landowners to implement restoration projects to remove fill and aggraded sediments in lower floodplain, enhance woody debris, and replant riparian areas		<u>09-01-000</u>	Lilliwaup Instream Restoration
										\$4,145,000		\$4,946,164		\$5,532,252							
Eastern Str	uits																1.5 0.4001				
1		2,3,5,7	Discovery Bay (snow/salmon) Railroad Grade Removal	NOSC, WDFW, JCD	\$450,000	\$0	\$450,000	NOAA, PSP	final design and permiting	\$100,000	construction	\$350,000			Е	Estuary	15 ac 3400' RR grade removal	Implement selected alternative to remove abandoned railroad grade in southern estuary between Snow and Salmon Creeks		<u>01-03-006</u>	Discovery Bay (snow/salmon) Railroad Grade Removal
1		2,3,5	Snow Creek Delta Cone and Estuary Restoration	NOSC, WDFW, JCD	\$800,000	\$0	\$800,000	NOAA, PSP, NRCS	final design and permiting	\$100,000	construction	\$700,000			E	Estuary	12 acres	Implement selected alternative to restore floodplain and tidal prism below SR101, as scoped by the RR Grade Removal study and Delta Cone Removal and Estuary Design		<u>01-03-009</u>	Snow Creek Delta Cone and Estuary Restoration
1		2,3,5	Maynard Nearshore Restoration	NOSC, WDFW, JCD, JMRC	\$568,932	\$0	\$568,932	JCMRC,SRFB	final design and permiting		construction	\$568,932			Е	Estuary	10 acres	Implement selected alternative to enhance railroad grade in northwestern estuary, including riprap removal, cherry pond connection, contaminated sediments, forage fish, small stream culvert daylighting, and bridge removal		<u>01-03-004</u>	Maynard Nearshore Restoration
1		1,2,3,6	Snow/Salmon Reconnection Feasibility and Design	WDFW, NOSC, JCD, TNC, PSNERP	\$20,000	\$0	\$20,000	PSNERP, LNFF	further scoping	\$10,000	further scoping	\$10,000			I,W,R,F	Mainstem	1 mile	Assess benefits and feasiblity of reconnecting Snow and Salmon Creeks; design construction plans		<u>01-01-001</u>	Snow/Salmon Reconnection Feasibility and Design
1		1,2,7	SR101 Bridge/Causeway Replacement Feasibility	PSNERP, DOT	\$20,000	\$0	\$20,000	PSNERP, LNFF	further scoping	\$10,000	further scoping	\$10,000			I,W,E,F	Estuary	1miles	Assess benefits and feasiblity of widening the bridge and shortening causeway of State Route 101 crossing in Lower Discovery Bay to allow reconnection of Snow and Salmon and improve tidal hydrology		<u>01-03-010</u>	SR101 Bridge/Causeway Replacement Feasibility
1		1,3,4,7	West Uncas Road Culvert Retrofit Design	NOSC, Jefferson County, WDFW	¹ \$20,000	\$0	\$20,000	NOAA, American Rivers, PSP, JC	continue preliminary design	\$10,000	complete designs	\$10,000			I,P,F	Mainstem	0.25 miles	Assess design options and costs for replacing culvert with bridge to ease passage and restore habitat forming processes, including road vacation; temporarily provide for passage with sand bag weirs.		<u>01-04-000</u>	West Uncas Road Culvert Retrofit Design
1		1,3,4,7	West Uncas Road Culvert Replacement	NOSC, JCD, WDFW, Jeff County	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$0	PSAR, Jefferson County			funding strategy		construction	\$400,000	I,P,F	Mainstem	1 mile	Implement selected alternative from above to address West Unca's RD culvert passage problem		<u>01-04-001</u>	West Uncas Road Culvert Replacement
1		1,3,4,5	Mid-Salmon Creek Floodplain Restoration	JSKT, NOSC, Jeff County, JCD	\$675,000	\$675,000	\$0	PSAR, SRFB, NOAA, USFWS	continue scoping discussions and outreach		land transactions and preliminary design	\$500,000	final designs and funding strategy	\$175,000	AR, I, R, F	Mainstem	0.5 miles	Investigate feasibility of restoring the middle reach of salmon creek (adjacent to Unca's RD) back to original location, while improving fish passage barrier, instream and riparian conditions			Mid-Salmon Creek Floodplain Restoration
1		1,3,4	Snow Creek LWD Restoration Design	NOSC, JCD	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	PSP, SRFB			landowner contacts and survey	\$50,000	preliminary design	\$50,000	I	Mainstem	1 mile	Landowner outreach, feasibility, and design of project to improve channel complexity and instream functions through summer chum range		<u>01-01-002</u>	Snow Creek LWD Restoration Design
1		4,5,6	Snow/Salmon Creek Sediment Investigation	NOSC, JCD, USFS	\$100,000	\$100,000	\$0	PSP, SRFB			landowner contacts and survey	\$50,000	preliminary design	\$50,000	U	Headwaters	?	Limiting habitat factors assessments have identified sediment as a major factor for salmonid decline. This project would work with major headwater owners of public and private forestlands and road networks to ID most likely sources and address them			Snow/Salmon Creek Sediment Investigation
1		4,5,6,7	Snow/Salmon Road Decommissioning and Stabilization	USFS, NOSC	\$150,000	\$150,000	\$0	USFS, SRFB,PSP			Design	\$30,000	Permitting and construction	\$120,000	U	Headwaters	7 miles	Decommission, convert to trail, or stabilize highest priority roads for aquatic risk		01-06-001; 01-06-002; 01- 06-003; 01-06-004; 01-06- 005	Snow/Salmon Road Decommissioning and Stabilization
2		2,7	Kilisut Harbor/Oak Bay Reconnection	JSKT, WSDOT, WDFW,NOSC	\$9,200,000	\$9,200,000	\$0	WSDOT, ESRP, USACE, PSAR	preliminary design	\$100,000	design	\$200,000	permitting and construction	\$8,900,000	M,P	Marine	100 acres	Replace undersized culverts with bridge length on Marrowstone Island causeway to restore natural tidal inundation and access to and from Scow Bay for Puget Sound and Hood Canal salmon stocks		07-02-002	07-02-003 Kilisut Harbor/Oak Bay Reconnection
4		2	Fort Townsend State Park Shoreline Restoration	MRC, State Parks	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$0	NWSI, State # Parks	funding strategy and design	\$25,000	final design	\$25,000	construction	\$200,000	М	Marine	300 feet, 1 acre	State Parks would like to restore the marine shoreline by pulling back fill and riprap while preserving pedestrian access to the beach		<u>07-02-001</u>	Fort Townsend State Park Shoreline Restoration
								+		\$355,000		\$2,503,932		\$9,895,000							
Quilcene																					
2 or 4		2	Tarboo/Dabob Bay Protection	NWI, TNC, DNR, Tribes, Jefferson Land Trust	\$2,500,000	\$1,150,000	\$1,350,000	USFWS, SRFB, ESRP, Trust Land Transfer	transactions	\$1,500,000	transactions	\$500,000	transactions	\$500,000	M,L	Marine	118 acres	Protection of state timber and private lands within the 3,600 acre Dabob Bay Natural Area to protect ecosystem functions and processes, and diverse habitats in one of the highest quality and largest saltmarsh estuaries remaining in the Hood Canal and Straits of Juan de Fuca region. The project includes acquisition of 1,400 acres of private lands from willing landowners and use of Trust Land Transfer funds for State lands.		<u>06-02</u>	Tarboo/Dabob Bay Protection
2 or 4		2,5	Tarboo/Dabob Bay Nearshore Restoration	NWI, TNC, DNR, Tribes, Jefferson Land Trust	\$350,000	\$300,000	\$50,000	USFWS, NOAA, ESRP, SRFB, NFWF	design and funding; invasive control	\$60,000	construction; invasive control	\$140,000	invasive control	\$150,000	М	Marine	300ft; 3000acres	Remove rock and creosote bulkheads, plant, and control invasive species in shoreline riparian forests at priority restoration sites within Tarboo-Dabob Bay.		Not in HWS	Dabob Bay Creosote Bulkhead Removal

									20	13	20	014	2015									
Domain Priority	Bio Rank / EDT	Primary Limiting Factors	Action name	Likely sponsor	Total cost	Unfunded Portion	Existing Funding	Source of other funds	Scope	Cost	Scope	Cost	Scope	Cost	Restor-ation Type	Location w/in watershed	Performance	Brief Description	Action #	HWS link	HWS link Cont.	3 YWP Project Name
4		7	Tarboo Fish Passage	NWI	\$155,000	\$155,000	\$0	NOAA, USFWS, NFWF	funding strategy		design and construction	\$155,000			Р	Mainstem	?	Replace undersized culverts on East Fork Tarboo Creek and retrofit mainstem culverts funded in previous SRFB rounds to ensure function and fish passage.		<u>06-04</u>		Tarboo Fish Passage
1		1,2,3,6,7	Lower Biq Quilcene River & Estuary Master Plan	Jefferson County, TNC, HCSEG, WDFW	\$220,000	\$200,000	\$20,000	PSP, SRFB, NFWF	community outreach and conceptual design	\$20,000	preliminary and final designs	\$200,000			I,W,E,L,R,F	Mainstem and Estuary	4000ft; 250 acres	Continue Linger Longer Reach Restoration with the end goal of restoring floodplain processes below Rogers Street and reconnecting freshwater and tidal link. TNC to lead outreach and HCSEG to lead design; work with shellfish industry. This project will include widening the floodplain, creating increased channel habitat, widening the existing bridge, and removing last estuary dike on north bank and delta cone. PSNERP funded 10% design and Navy funded limited additional investigation.		<u>03-01-001</u>	03-03- 009, 011, 013, 014	Lower Biq Quilcene River & Estuary Master Plan
1		1,2,3,6,7	Big Quilcene Estuary South Bank Levee and Delta Cone Removal	HCSEG, TNC, WDFW	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	\$0	PSAR, SRFB, ESRP, NFWF					construction	\$1,100,000	E	Estuary	2000 feet, 50+acres	Implement Master Plan by removing south bank levee and delta cone upland materials, as designed and supported by community and shellfish industry		<u>03-03-011</u>	add delta cone link	Big Quilcene Estuary South Bank Levee and Delta Cone Removal
1		1,2,3,6,7	Big Quilcene Linger Longer Reach Restoration	Jefferson County, TNC, HCSEG, WDFW	\$4,400,000	\$4,400,000	\$0	PSAR, SRFB, ESRP, NFWF			land transactions	\$400,000	construction	\$4,000,000	M, E	Mainstem	4000ft; 250 acres	Implement Master Plan by replacing Linger Longer road and bridge with new thoroughfare on elevated bridge. Reconstruct river channel as designed and supported by community and shellfish industry				Big Quilcene Linger Longer Reach Restoration
1		1,3	Big Quilcene River Habitat Restoration Phase 3	Skokomish Tribe, HCSEG	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$0	SRFB, Skokomish Tribe, PSAR	monitor	\$0	design and permit and fund	\$50,000	construct phase 3, monitoring; further design?	\$350,000	I,F	Mainstem	4000 feet	Place woody debris to improve channel and floodplain complexity and instream functions through summer chum range		03-01-004, 03-01-005, 03 01-006, 03-01-007, 03- 01-009, 03-01-010	03-01-008	Big Quilcene River Habitat Restoration Phase 3
1	add	1,3	Little Quilcene Mclanahan Reach Feasibility and Design	HCSEG	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$0	PSAR, SRFB, NFWF, USFWS			design and community	\$50,000			I,F	Mainstem	2000 feet	Complete feasibility and design for instream and floodplain restoration in lower river below Center Road		<u>03-01-015</u>		Little Quilcene Mclanahan Reach Feasibility and Design
1		1,3	Little Quilcene Mclanahan Reach Restoration	HCSEG	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$0	PSAR, SRFB, NFWF, USFWS			outreach		permitting and construction	\$250,000	I,F	Mainstem	2000 feet	Remove riprap and add wood to restore floodplain and channel habitats in lower river below Center Road		03-01-016		Little Quilcene Mclanahan Reach Restoration
										\$1,580,000		\$1,495,000		\$6,350,000								
Union and	Fahuya	1,2,3,7	Union Estuary Johnson Farm Restoration -Construction	HCSEG, WDFW, PNWSC	\$2,125,000	\$125,000	\$2,000,000	federal, SRFB, NRCS	construction	\$2,000,000	Lower Mendy Creek improvements	\$125,000			E,R,L	Estuary	41 acres	Construct - Breach levees strategically and enhance tidal channels and flats to restore tidal inundation to 40 acres of historic salt marsh, bridge breaches with boardwalks; revegetate backshore; enhance adjacent channels. Mid to upper Mendy Creek is Domain 4.		<u>11-03-003</u>		Union Estuary Johnson Farm Restoration - Construction
1		2, 7	Beards Cove Union River Estuary	WDFW, GPC	\$547,500	\$450,000	\$97,500		Design & Permitting	\$97,500	Permitting and funding		construction	\$450,000			19 acres	Design and restore the union river estuary on the 'Beards Cove' parcels		<u>11-03-005</u>		Beards Cove Union River Estuary
1		1,3,4, 5	Lower Union River Assessment and Preliminary Design	HCSEG	\$120,000	\$120,000	\$0	SRFB, NFWF, WDFW, USFWS,PSP	funding strategy		preliminary design lower Union River	\$120,000			I, W, R, F	Mainstem	5000ft	Assess opportunities for improving instream and floodplain conditions		<u>12-01-000</u>	<u>12-01-002</u> <u>12-01-003</u>	Lower Union River Assessment and Preliminary Design
1		1,3,4, 5	Lower Union River Instream Enhancement	HCSEG	\$350,000	\$350,000	\$0	SRFB, NFWF, WDFW, USFWS,PSP			funding strategy		final design, permitting and construction of Phase 1	\$350,000	I, W, R, F	Mainstem	5000ft	Add wood in summer chum range to restore instream and floodplain conditions		<u>12-01-000</u>	<u>12-01-002</u> <u>12-01-003</u>	Lower Union River Instream Enhancement
2		1,3,4,5	Tahuya River LWD Placement, Phase 3	HCSEG	\$280,000	\$280,000	\$0	SRFB, PSAR, NFWF, USFWS			funding strategy and design	\$30,000	permitting and construction	\$250,000	I, W, R, F	Mainstem	5000ft	Add wood in summer chum range to restore instream and floodplain conditions		<u>12-01-004</u>		Tahuya River LWD Placement, Phase 3
2		1,2,7	Tahuya River Northshore Road Bridge Replacement	Mason County	\$240,000	\$240,000	\$0	PSNERP	Feasiblity and Design; community outreach	\$20,000	More Design	\$20,000	Final Design	\$200,000	Е	Estuary	?	Continue PSNERP feasibility studies to address benefits for retrofit, alternatives, and costs along the Tahuya				Tahuya River Northshore Road Bridge Replacement
2		1,3,4,5,6	Tahuya Priority Lands Conservation	GPC, WDFW, DNR, HC Alliance	\$4,474,059	\$4,474,059	\$0	PSAR	funding strategy	0	Appraisal, Negotiations	70,000	Transactions	\$4,404,059	L	Headwaters	3500 acres	Continue conservation efforts with the Hood Canal Alliance		Not in HWS		Big Beef to Dewatto Priority Lands Conservation
West Viteo										\$2,117,500		\$303,000		\$5,054,059								
2		1,3,4,5,6,7	IMW Lower Big Beef Final Design	HCSEG	\$70,061	\$0	\$70,061	SRFB, PSAR	Final Design	\$70,061					I	Mainstem	50 acres; 1 mile	WDFW, HCSEG, UW effort to design well road removal, instream wood structures, wetlands and side channel habitat in lower watershed on UW property; treatment associated with IMW program		<u>15-01-000</u>	<u>15-01-005</u>	IMW Lower Big Beef Final Design
2		1,3,4,5,6,7	IMW Lower Big Beef Restoration	HCSEG	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000	\$0	SRFB, PSAR, USFWS, NOAA	funding strategy and final design		construction	\$1,000,000			I	Mainstem	50 acres; 1 mile	WDFW, HCSEG, UW effort to restore well road removal, instream wood structures, wetlands and side channel habitat in lower watershed on UW property; treatment associated with IMW program		<u>15-01-000</u>	<u>15-01-005</u>	IMW Lower Big Beef Restoration
2		1,2,3,4,5,6,7	IMW Fish Weir Modification	WDFW	\$390,000	\$390,000	\$0	NOAA	funding strategy		design	\$40,000	construction	\$350,000	I, E	Mainstem	5 acres	Upgrade fish weir to require less instream sediment management and improve habitat conditions				IMW Fish Weir Modification
2		1,3,4,5,6,7	IMW Middle Big Beef Restoration, Phase 2	HCSEG	\$500,000	\$500,000	\$0	SRFB, PSAR, USFWS, NOAA			funding strategy		design and construction	\$500,000	I	Mainstem	200 acres; 2 miles	Restore woody debris loading in middle reaches above UW property and below Lake Symington through helicopter installations; treatment associated with IMW program		<u>15-01-000</u>	<u>15-01-005</u>	IMW Middle Big Beef Restoration, Phase 2
2		2,7	Dewatto Estuary	HCSEG	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$0	PSP, SRFB, ESRP, coastal wetlands	funding strategy		design	\$20,000	permitting, construction	\$380,000	Е	Estuary	20 acres	Remove relict levees in sub-estuary and restore channel complexity; fill dredge hole; replant affected riparian areas		<u>13-03-000</u>		Dewatto Estuary
		1,3,4,5	IMW Little Anderson LWD Phase 3	HCSEG	\$350,000	\$350,000	\$0	NOAA	funding strategy		design	\$50,000	construction	\$300,000	I	Mainstem	0.5 miles	Next Phase of installing LWD in Little Anderson Cr.		<u>16-01-003</u>		IMW Little Anderson LWD Phase 3
4		1,2,3	Martha John Creek Estuary Conservaiton Plan	GPC, PG S'Klallam Tribe	\$47,500	\$0	\$47,500	NFWF	planning	\$47,500					L, I, W, E, R	Mainstem	1 Mile	Engage key landowners in development of a conservation plan for Martha John Creek estuary and lower reach, resulting in a strategic conservation plan implemented by mulitple organizations		<u>16-02-002</u>		Martha John Creek Estuary Conservaiton Plan
-										\$117,561		\$1,110,000		\$1,530,000								
Dungeness	and Jimmycon	nelately (only	summer chum stocks considered in	HCCC process)														Build approximately 50 engineered and design/build				
3		1	Dungeness River Large Wood Restoration		\$5,000,000			Donations, BIA	Feasibility Pending						I,R		50 ELJs	river mile (RM) 2.7 to 18.8 and in the Gray Wolf River from RM 0.0 to 2.0. The project will protect many previously identified				Dungeness River Large Wood Restoration
3		1,3,5	Dungeness River Riparian Habitat Protection	ЈЅКТ	\$9,000,000			SRFB, EPA, PSAR	Feasibility Pending						R,E		4 miles	Dungeness River riparian properties downstream of DNR ownership (approximately river mile 12.0) through the purchase of property and conservation easements. Kipanan restoration involves tince interretated actions: 10				Dungeness River Riparian Habitat Protection
3			Dungenoss Pivos Biossiss						Fessibility									eliminate or control noxious weeds, plant unproductive or non-forested sites with appropriate shrubs and trees, and maintain the site until the desired forest community is established (5 years or more)				
		1,3	Restoration		\$500,000			SRFB	Completed						R		14 miles	This suite of projects includes multiple interrelated				Dungeness River Riparian Restoration
3		6	Dungeness River Instream Flow Restoration – Irrigation Efficiencies		\$4,680,000			SRFB, PSAR, WCC	Design Completed						I,R		5 cf/s	strategies that restore stream flows in the Dungeness River. One strategy is irrigation water conservation - primarily, irrigation ditch piping.				Dungeness River Instream Flow Restoration – Irrigation Efficiencies

									20	13	201	2014 2015		15								
Domain Priority	Bio Rank / EDT	Primary Limiting Factors	Action name	Likely sponsor	Total cost	Unfunded Portion	Existing Funding	Source of other funds	Scope	Cost	Scope	Cost	Scope	Cost	Restor-ation Type	Location w/in watershed	Performance	Brief Description	Action #	HWS link	HWS link Cont.	3 YWP Project Name
																		This suite of projects includes interrelated water storage strategies that contribute to Dungeness River late season				
3																		stream flow restoration. These strategies include water				
		6	Dungeness River Instream		\$350.000			SREB	Feasibility						LR		5 cf/s	storage in small off-channel reservoirs and shallow aquifer recharge (SAR)				Dungeness River Instream Flow Restoration - Storage
		0	now restoration storage		\$350,000			UNI D	Completed						1,10		5 61/5	This are in the definition of the sector of				Restoration Storage
3			Dungeness River Floodplain	Clallam				SRFB. PSAR.	Feasibility									I his project is floodplain restoration through the setback or reconfiguration of dikes or armored banks, from the				Dungeness River Floodplain
		1,3,4,5,6,7	Restoration	County	\$15,000,000	_		Corps	Completed				_		I,R		5000 ft	mouth to Canyon Creek (RM 0 to 10.7).				Restoration
																		Dungeness Spit and Dungeness Bay through the purchase				
2+			D						E								5200	of conservation easements and properties, and the				
		2	Conservation		\$7,000,000				Completed						M,E		acres	along the entire Dungeness drift cell.				Dungeness Drift Cell Conservation
																		Permanent protection will be provided for Gibson, South, Travis and Paradise Cove Spits, all clustered pear the				
2+			North Sequim Bay Drift Cell															entrances to WA Harbor and Sequim Bay, along with the				North Sequim Bay Drift Cell
		2,3	Conservation		\$5,000,000				Conceptual						M,E		269 acres	5.2 miles of coastal feeder bluffs that support the spits. Complete funded construction project to replace sewer line			_	Conservation
2			Washington Harbor Habitat			\$0	\$1,800,000	ESRP, SRFB,	Complete	\$1,800,000								and bridge with new facilities to provide for fish passage				Washington Harbor Habitat
		2, 7	Restoration	JSKT	\$1,800,000			PSAR	Construction						M,E		37 acres	and habitat forming processes.				Restoration
																		easements to permanently protect a 150 to 450-foot wide				
2			Washington Harbor Habitat						Feasibility									Imparian buffer (approximately 75 acres) surrounding Washington Harbor. The bed of Washington Harbor is state-				Washington Harbor Habitat Protection
		2	Protection Project		\$1,020,000				Pending						M,E		75 acres	owned.				Project
			Meadowbrook Creek and						Feasibility Completed,									and off-channel habitat by enhancing and stabilizing the				
2+		105	Dungeness River	NOCO	+102.000			PSAR,	Design								5000 0	connection between Meadowbrook Creek and the mainstem				Meadowbrook Creek and Dungeness
		1,3,5	Reconnection	NOSC	\$182,000			Donations	Completed						E,M,R		5000 π	Graysmarsh is an approximately 140-acre				River Reconnection
																		freshwater/brackish water marsh located at the mouth of Gierin Creek (WRIA 18) which enters the Strait of Juan de				
2+																	140 acres	Fuca immediately east of Dungeness Bay. The landowners				
		23	Gray's Marsh Restoration and		¢100.000			CDED	Concontual						ED			are interested in learning what the available restoration				Gray's Marsh Restoration and
		2,5	Teasibility Design Flase 1	WDIW	\$100,000			JNID	Conceptual						L,K			Removal of infrastructure, armor, fill, roadway from				
2											preliminary design	\$50.000					40 acres	nearshore and estuary to allow restore of estuarine				
2			Three Crabs Nearshore and						Land Acquisition		prenininary design	\$50,000					40 acres	wetlands, reconnection of floodplain wetlands to improve				Three Crabs Nearshore and Estuarine
		2,5,7	Estuarine Restoration	NOSC	\$4,000,000			SRFB, ESRP	Completed	\$1 800 000		\$50.000		\$0	E,M,R,W			habitat connectivity between 40 Acres of wetlands				Restoration
D					_	_							_		_							
Regional								NOAA, private	Inventory and		Inventory and		Inventory and					Inventory marine subtidal areas of Haad Canal for deralist nots and note				
1,2,3, or 4		2	Derelict Gear Removal	HCSEG, NWSI	F \$300,000	\$300,000	\$0	foundation, ESRP	remove	\$100,000	remove	\$100,000	remove	\$100,000	E,M	Marine	?	and continue removal process		<u>18-05</u>		Derelict Gear Removal
								SRFB, Noxious	Survey, inventory,		Survey, inventory,		Survey, inventory,								Level Two	
1, 2 or 3		1,3,5	Regional Riparian Successional Strategy	Multiple	\$900,000	\$600,000	\$300,000	weed boards,	remove noxious	\$300,000	remove noxious	\$300,000	remove noxious	\$300,000	R	All except marine	e ?	high priority freshwater reaches; prepare sites, plant, and maintain sites		<u>18-03,</u>	all Chum &	Regional Riparian Successional Strategy
			Stategy					DNR	riparian plantings		riparian plantings		riparian plantings					following recommendations from riparian assessment.			Chinook watersheds	
			Namehan Sainna Can far Inneila	WEC DOFT				CDED DEAD	Investment wilet									This initiative is designed to fill the critical uncertainty about what				Namehana Sainnaa Can fan Issanila Salman
1,2,3 or 4		2	Salmon Habitat Preferences	DFW, others?	\$554,472	\$440,000	\$114,472	NOAA, WDFW	project	\$114,472	Implement phase 2	\$220,000	Implement phase 3	\$220,000	E,M	Marine	?	through the nearshore and marine habitats of Hood Canal and the				Habitat Preferences
																		Straits. Working with volunteer landowners, develop high priority			Level Two	
			Summer Chum and Chinook		#200.000		f200.000	SRFB, PSAR,	community outreach,		community outreach,	6200.000	community outreach,					land acquisitions that either protect high quality habitats			Conservation	Summer Chum and Chinook Riparian
1 or 2		1,2,3,4,5,6,7	Riparian Conservation/Acquisition	Multiple	\$900,000	\$600,000	\$300,000	WWRP, Coastal Wetlands	appraisals, transactions	\$300,000	appraisals,	\$300,000	appraisals, transactions	\$300,000	L	All	?	at risk of conversion or impacted habitats which require restoration that is incompatible with current land uses or			for all Chum & Chinook	Conservation/Acquisition
										6914 472	transactions	6020.000		£0 2 0.000				landowner desires.			watersheds	
										\$814,472		\$920,000		\$920,000								
Hatchery Ca	pital Projects																	Assess genetic beritage of Chinook within the				
			Mid-Hood Canal Conservation	LLTK Tribes														Dosewallips, investigate potential chinook stocks given				
1			Hatchery Plan	DFW, HCCC	\$266,130	\$92,205	\$177,357		Implement SOW	\$177,357	Implement SOW	\$92,205						context, develop recommendations for stock reintroduction: incorporate into RITT Common		Not in HWS		Mid-Hood Canal Conservation Hatchery Plan
											H + I							Framework				
1			Skokomish Hatchery Facilities, per Cushman License	Skokomish, TP	?	?	?		Hatchery	?	construction/operat	?	Operations	?				Capital facilities necessary for chinook supplementation,		Not in HWS		Skokomish Hatchery Facilities, per Cushman
		7	Lakes Cushman and Kokanee passag	e _ p				TD	adult & juvenile		ion				D		Remediate	Create upstream (trap) and downstream (floating surface collector)				Lakes Cushman and Kokanee passage
		/	down/upstream	racoma Power	? ?	50	<i>!</i>	117	passage construction	? ?	monitoring	!			P P	Mainstem	fish barrier	passage past Cushman Project, including fish handling/sorting facility		Not in HWS		down/upstream
			facilities (start with Crawford																			
			assessment)?							\$177,357		\$92,205		\$0								
TOTAL CA	PITAL NEED				\$112,904,958	\$47,835,400	\$13,240,990			\$13,328,778		\$13,819.301		\$33,978,311								

Keys to the categories of projects laid out in spread sheet columns:

Habitat Limiting Factors

- 1 Degraded floodplain and in-river channel structure
 2 Degraded nearshore and estuarine conditions and loss of associated habitat
 3 Riparian area degradation and loss of in-river large woody debris
- 4 Excessive sediments in spawning gravels 5 Degraded water quality and temperature
- 6 Impaired instream flows
- 7 Barriers to fish passage

For Habitat Projects:

Acquisition

(note: If the project's scope includes just acquisition, with future restoration planned as part of a subsequent phase, list AR. If the project's scope includes both purchase and restoration, list both AR and R.)

AP- Acquisition for protection AR-Acquisition for restoration R -Restoration

- <u>Restoration Type & Performance</u> I Instream habitat projects (stream miles treated)

 - W Wetland habitat projects (acres created/treated)
 E Estuarine habitat projects (acres created and treated)
 L Land acquisition projects (acres/ miles acquired for protection and/or restoration)

 - R Riparian habitat projects (stream miles/acres treated) U Upland habitat projects (acres treated)
 - P Fish passage projects (barriers removed/stream miles opened/fish screens installed)
 - M Marine shoreline projects (miles/acres) (pocket estuaries and shorelines outside of natal delta areas and tributaries to Puget Sound) F Floodplain reconnection projects (miles/acres)

Location w/in watershed Marine shorelines (pocket estuaries and shorelines outside of natal delta areas and tributaries to Puget Sound)

Estuaries Mainstem

Tributaries (all tributaries to mainstem rivers) Headwaters